## 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

	United :	States of America,	)	Case No. 23-MJ- +1263
		Plaintiff,	· )	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
		V.	)	SEP 1 2 2023
	Ra	y Gilbert, Defendant(s).	)	GEDI
	•	Defendant(s).	)	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT CO
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record on $\frac{9/(2/23)}{100}$ , the court excludes time under the Speedy Trial Act from $\frac{9/12/23}{100}$ to $\frac{9/(5/23)}{100}$ and finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). The court makes this finding and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):				
		Failure to grant a continuance wou See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ıld be like	ly to result in a miscarriage of justice.
_ Î	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).			
		Failure to grant a continuance wortaking into account the exercise of	ıld deny t due dilig	he defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, ence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
		Failure to grant a continuance work counsel's other scheduled case co See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	mmitmen	onably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given s, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
		Failure to grant a continuance wornecessary for effective preparation See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	ı, taking i	onably deny the defendant the reasonable time nto account the exercise of due diligence.
	<u>X</u>	disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the parthetime limits for a preliminary h	court sets rties' show earing un for an ind	ng into account the public interest in the prompt the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first wing of good cause — finds good cause for extending der Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for ictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the m. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
	IT IS S	SO ORDERED.		
	DATE	D: 9/12/2023		Possili an olivi
				United States Magistrate Judge
	CTIDI	ILATED: Elisa In	· , .	22
	SILLO	Attorney for Defendant		Assistant United States Attorney